

## Common Fixed Point in Fuzzy Metric Space of Integral Type

G.S. Sao, A.K. Sao, Seema Sinha & U.K. Shrivastava

Department of Maths, Govt. ERR PG. Sc. College, Bilaspur (C.G.), India

**Abstract:** Fuzzy metric space is first defined by Kramosil and Michalek in 1975. Many authors modified Fuzzy metric space and proved fixed point results in Fuzzy metric space. Singh B. and Chauhan were first introduced the concept of compatible mappings of Fuzzy metric space and proved the common fixed point theorem in 2000. Cho et were introduced the concept of compatible mapping of type (P). In this paper, we obtain a fixed point in fuzzy metric space. Our purpose is generalize the several known results.

**Keywords:** Common fixed points, fuzzy metric space.

**2000 AMS Subject Classification:** Primary 47H10, Secondary 54H25

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Rhoades (1985) proved for pair of mappings which in turn was generalized by Kang and Rhoades (1996) using compatible condition defined by Jungck (1986). Branciari (2002) obtained a fixed point result for a single mapping satisfying an analogue of Banach's contraction principle for an integral-type inequality. The second author (Rhoades, 2003) prove Two fixed point theorems involving more general contractive conditions.

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

satisfies Consider  $\Phi = \{\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+\}$  such that  $\varphi$  is nonnegative, Lebesgue integrable, and sa

$$\int_0^\varepsilon \varphi(t) dt > 0 \text{ for each } \varepsilon > 0 \quad (2.1)$$

Let  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfy that

- (i)  $\psi$  is nonnegative and nondecreasing on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ ,
- (ii)  $\psi(t) < t$  for each  $t > 0$ ,
- (iii)  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \psi^n(t) < \infty$  for each fixed  $t > 0$ . where  $\Psi = \{\psi : \psi \text{ satisfies (i)-(iii)}\}$ .

### 3. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Theorem (3.1): Let A, B, S and T be the mappings from a fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$  into itself satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) S and T are surjective
- (ii) One of A, B, S and T is continuous
- (iii) A, S and B, T are compatible pairs of type (P)

$$(iv) \int_0^{M(Ax, By, z)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{m(x, y, z)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \quad (3.1)$$

Where  $k \in [0, 1], \varphi \in \Phi, \psi \in \Psi$ , and

$$m(x, y, z) = \max\{M(Sx, Ty, z), M(Sx, Ax, z), M(Ty, By, z)\},$$

$$\frac{\max\{M(Sx, Ty, z), M(Sx, By, z), M(Sx, Ty, z), M(Ty, Ax, z), M(Sx, Ax, z), M(Sx, By, z), M(Ty, By, z), M(Ty, Ax, z)\}}{\max\{M(Sx, By, z), M(Ty, Ax, z)\}} \quad (3.2)$$

when  $m(x, y, z) = 1$  if  $Sx = By$  and  $Ty = Ax$ . Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Proof of theorem: If A, B, S and T be the mappings from a fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$  into itself then there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  with  $x_0 \in X$ ,

$$Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1} = x_{2n+1} \text{ and } Bx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2} = x_{2n+2}$$

Now assume  $x_{2n} \neq x_{2n+1}$  for each n. With  $x = x_{2n}, y = x_{2n+1}$ , then from (3.1) we have

$$\int_0^{M(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, z)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, z)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\ \Rightarrow \int_0^{M(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, z)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, z)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \quad (3.3)$$

Continuing this process, we have

$$\int_0^{M(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, z)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, z)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \leq \dots \leq \psi^{2n}(M) \quad (3.4)$$

where  $M = \int_0^{M(x_0, x_1, z)} \varphi(t) dt$ . Then it is easily shown that  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy, hence convergent. Call the limit p. Consequently the subsequences  $\{Ax_{2n}\}, \{Bx_{2n+1}\}, \{Sx_{2n}\}, \{Tx_{2n+1}\}$  converge to p.

Let  $p = Sp = Ap$ . Then  $m(p, p, z) = \max\{M(Sp, Tp, z), M(Sp, Ap, z), M(Tp, Bp, z)\}$ ,

$$\frac{\max\{M(Sp, Tp, z), M(Sp, Bp, z), M(Sp, Tp, z), M(Tp, Ap, z), M(Sp, Ap, z), M(Sp, Bp, z), M(Tp, Bp, z), M(Tp, Ap, z)\}}{\max\{M(Sp, Bp, z), M(Tp, Ap, z)\}} \quad (3.5)$$

Therefore  $m(p, p, z) = \max\{M(p, Tp, z), 1, M(Tp, Bp, z), \frac{\max\{M(p, Tp, z), M(p, Bp, z), M(p, Tp, z), M(Tp, p, z), 1, M(p, Bp, z), M(Tp, Bp, z), M(Tp, p, z)\}}{\max\{M(p, Bp, z), M(Tp, p, z)\}}\}$  (3.6)

Hence  $M(p, Tp, z) \cdot M(p, Bp, z) = 1$  since  $M(Tp, Bp, z) \leq M(Tp, p, z) * M(p, Bp, z)$   
So  $p = Tp, p = Bp$  and (3.1) becomes

$$\int_0^{M(p, Tp, z)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{M(p, Tp, z)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \quad (3.7)$$

which, from (3.3), implies that  $p = Tp = Bp$ .

Similarly,  $p = Tp = Bp$  implies that  $p = Sp = Ap$ . We will now show that A, B, S and T satisfy (3.5).

$$m(x, Sx, z) = \max\{M(Sx, TSx, z), M(Sx, Ax, z), M(TSx, BSx, z), \frac{\max\{M(Sx, TSx, z), M(Sx, BSx, z), M(Sx, TSx, z), M(TSx, Ax, z), M(Sx, Ax, z), M(Sx, BSx, z), M(TSx, BSx, z), M(TSx, Ax, z)\}}{\max\{M(Sx, BSx, z), M(TSx, Ax, z)\}}\} \quad (3.8).$$

Now we have  $M(Sx, TSx, z) \leq M(Sx, Ax, z) * M(Ax, TSx, z)$

$M(Sx, BSx, z) \leq M(Sx, Ax, z) * M(Ax, BSx, z)$

$M(BSx, TSx, z) \leq M(BSx, Bx, z) * M(Bx, TSx, z)$

on the considering above we have

$$\int_0^{M(Sx, TSx, z)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{M(Sx, TSx, z)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \text{ a contradiction}$$

### 5. CONCLUSION

Hence S, A and T, B has a fixed point, that any fixed point of S, A is also a fixed point of T, B and conversely. Thus S, A and T, B have a common fixed point. Hence A, B, S and T has a common fixed point.

Suppose that T is continuous. Since B and T are compatible, then  $TTx_{2n+1} = Tp$  and  $BBx_{2n+1} = Tp$ .

So that  $M(TTx_{2n+1}, BBx_{2n+1}, z) = 1 = M(SSx_{2n}, AAx_{2n}, z) = 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to the reviewers for their valuable suggestions to enhance the quality of our

article. Also we are thankful to Dr. M. Mehta Deptt, of Physics Govt. ERR PG Science College Bilaspur to encourage the work of research.

### REFERENCES

Branciari, A. 2002. A fixed point theorem for mapping a general contractive condition of integral type, *Int.J.Math.Sci.* 29, no.9, 531-536.

Jungck, G. 1986. Compatible mappings and common fixed points, *Internat J.Math.Math. Sci.* 9, 771-779

Kang, S.M. and Rhoades, B.E. 1996. Fixed points for mappings, *Math.Japonica* 37 (6).

Pathak, H.K., Cho, Y.J., Chang, S.S. and Kang, S.M. 1996. Compatible mappings of Type (P) and fixed point theorem in metric spaces and probabilistic metric spaces, *Novi Sod. J. Math.* 26(2). 87-109

Rhoades, B. E. 2003. Two fixed points for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, *Int.J.Math.Math.Sci.*, no.63, 4007-4013.

Rhoades, B.E. 1985. Some fixed point theorem for pairs of mappings, *Jnanabha*, 151-156.

Sao, G.S. and Sharma, Aradhana: Weak contraction in fuzzy b-metric Space, *International Journal of Innovative studies in Sciences and Engineering Technology (IJISSET) ISSN 2455-4863 (Online) Volume: 2 Issue:8 August 2016 p 19-20*

Sao, G.S.: Fixed Point Theorem of Type (P) in Fuzzy 2-Metric Space, *International Journal of Innovative studies in Sciences and Engineering Technology (IJISSET) ISSN 2455-4863 (Online) Volume: 2 Issue:2 Feb 2016 p.6-7*

Sao, G.S., Sao, A.K., Sinha, Seema and Shrivastava, U.K. Common fixed point and compability in fuzzy 2-metric space, *International Journal of Innovative studies in Sciences and Engineering Technology (IJISSET) ISSN 2455-4863 (Online) Volume: 3 Issue:7 Jul 2017 p.4-7*

Vijayaraju, P., Rhoades, B.E. and Mohanraj, R. 2005. A fixed point theorem for a pair of maps satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, *Int.J.Math.Math.Sci.* 15, pp 2359-2364.