

Kannan Type Contraction Mapping in Fuzzy 2-Metric Space

SAO,GAURI SHANKER.;SAO,AWANISH KUMAR; SINHA,SEEMA

Dept. of Mathematics, Govt. ERR PG Science College Bilaspur(C.G.)

Abstract: The aim of present paper is to obtain a fixed point by contraction in fuzzy 2-metric space Kannan type. My purpose is generalize the several known results.

Keywords and Phrases: fuzzy 2-metric spaces, contraction mapping, fixed point.

AMS Subject Classification (2000): 54H25, 47H10.

1. INTRODUCTION

The fundamental work for the fuzzy theory was first given by Zadeh [12] in 1965, who introduced the concept of fuzzy set. George and Veeramani [3] modified the notion of fuzzy metric spaces by introducing the concept of continuous t - norm. Now define fuzzy 2-metric space. The fuzzy metric space was introduced by Kramosil and Michalek [5], Sao, G.S.and Shrivastava, U.K. Introduce the notion of compability of type (D)[10]

2. PRELIMINARIES

DEFINITION 2.1([12]) Let X be any set. A fuzzy set A in X is a function with domain X and values in [0, 1].

Definition(2.2)[3]:A binary operation $\ast : [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is a continuous t-norm if $\{[0,1], \ast\}$ is an abelian topological monoid with unit 1 such that $a \ast b \leq c \ast d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$, $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$

Definition(2.3)[3]:A **fuzzy metric space** is a space X in which for each triple of points x, y, z there exists a real function $M(x, y, t)$ such that :

(i) to each pair of distinct points x, y in there exists a point t in $[0, 1]$ then $M(x, y, t) \neq 0$;

(ii) $M(x, y, t) = 1$, when x, y are equal;

(iii) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$;

(iv) $M(x, y, t_1 + t_2 + t_3) \geq M(x, y, t_1) \ast M(x, y, t_2) \ast M(x, y, t_3)$,

for all x, y in X and t_1, t_2, t_3 in $[0, 1]$. It is easily seen that M is non -negative.

Definition(2.4)[3]:A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \ast) is said to be **convergent** with $\lim x$ in X if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1, \text{ for all } z \text{ in } X \text{ and } t > 0.$$

Definition(2.5)[3]:A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \ast) is said to be a **Cauchy sequence** if

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_m, x_n, t) = 1, \text{ for all } z \text{ in } X \text{ and } t > 0.$$

Definition(2.5)[3]:A fuzzy metric space (X, M, \ast) is said to be **complete fuzzy metric space** if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

DEFINITION 2.6([1]) Two self-mappings A and S of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \ast) are said to be compatible if,

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ASx_n, SAx_n, t) = 1$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = p, \text{ for some } p \text{ in } X.$$

DEFINITION 2.7([4]) Two self-mappings A and S of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \ast) are said to be weakly compatible if, they commute at coincidence points. That is, $Ax = Sx$ implies that $ASx = SAx$ for all x in X.

DEFINITION 2.8([2]) Two self-mappings A and S of a metric space (X, M, \ast) are said to be subcompatible if,

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ASx_n, SAx_n, t) = 1$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = p$, for some p in X.

DEFINITION 2.9([6]) Two self-mappings A and S of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \ast) are said to be reciprocally continuous if,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ASx_n, Ax, t) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(SAx_n, Sx, t) = 1$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = p, \text{ for some } p \text{ in } X.$$

It is noted that if A and S are both continuous, they are obviously reciprocally continuous but the converse need not be true. For this, we have the following example:

EXAMPLE 1.1([6]) Consider two mappings A and S defined on $X = [2, 20]$ with the usual Euclidean metric d, defined by the rule $A2 = 2$, $Ax = 3$ if $x > 2$ and $S2 = 2$, $Sx = 6$ if $x > 2$. Then, A and S are reciprocally continuous but are not continuous.

3. MATERIAL AND METHOD

Theorem(3.1): Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy 2-metric space and let T be continuous self mappings of X, then $\{Tx_n\}$ converges to p. If T satisfying the following condition

$$M(Tx, Ty, z, t) \leq aM(x, Tx, z, t) + bM(y, Ty, z, t) + cM(x, Ty, z, t) + dM(y, T^2x, z, t) + eM(x, y, z, t)$$

$$s.t. x, y, z, t \in [0, 1] \text{ and } a+b+2c+d+e < 1$$

Then T has a fixed point p.

4. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Proof of theorem: Consider $Q_n = M(x_n, x_{n+1}, z, t)$ suppose $x = x_n$, $y = x_{n+1}$ then we have

$$Q_{n+1} \leq aQ_n + bQ_{n+1} + c(Q_n + Q_{n+1}) + dQ_{n+1} + eQ_n$$

$$(1-b-c-d) Q_{n+1} \leq (a+c+e) Q_n$$

$$Q_{n+1} \leq \frac{a+c+e}{1-b-c-d} Q_n$$

$$Q_{n+1} \leq k Q_n$$

$$\text{when } k = \frac{a+c+e}{1-b-c-d} < 1,$$

$$\text{hence } a+b+2c+d+e < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow Q_n \leq k Q_{n-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow Q_{n+1} \leq k^2 Q_{n-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow Q_n \leq k^n Q_0$$

But $Q_0 = M(x_0, x_1, z, t)$ which is a Cauchy fuzzy metric space. So Q_n be Cauchy fuzzy metric space. Also we can easily prove it will be convergent.

$$M(Tx_n, p, z, t) \leq M(Tx_n, p, z, t/2) * M(Tx_n, p, z, t/4) * M(Tx_n, p, z, t/8) * \dots$$

$$M(Tx_n, p, z, t/2^n)$$

$$\Rightarrow M(Tx_n, p, z, t) \rightarrow 1$$

Therefore it should be converge at the point p. Also we can show point p is unique.

5. CONCLUSION

As Q_n or $\{Tx_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence, then $Tx_n = p$.

$$\text{So } M(Tx_n, p, z, t) \rightarrow 1$$

Therefore T has a fixed point p.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to the reviewers for their valuable suggestions to enhance the quality of our article and Journal also.

REFERENCES

- [1] Balasubramaniam P, Muralishankar S & Pant R P, Common fixed points of four mappings in a fuzzy metric space, *J. Fuzzy Math.*, (2002) 10(2): 379.
- [2] Bouhedjera, H. and C. Godet-Thobie, Common fixed point theorems for pairs of subcompatible maps, *Arxiv: 0906.3159v2 [math.FA]*, (2011) 1.
- [3] George A & Veeramani P, On some results in fuzzy metric space, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, (1994) 64: 395.
- [4] Jungck G & Rhoades B E, Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, (1998) 29(3): 227.
- [5] Kramosil O & Michalek J, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, *Kybernetika*, (1975) 11: 326.
- [6] Pant R P, Common fixed points of four mappings, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, (1998) 90: 281.
- [7] Sao, G.S.: Contraction Theorem In Fuzzy 2-Metric Space, *International Journal of Innovative studies in Sciences and Engineering Technology (IJISSET) ISSN 2455-4863 (Online) Volume: 2 Issue:5 May 2016p.1-2*
- [8] Sao, G.S.: Fixed Point Theorem of Type (P) in Fuzzy 2-Metric Space, *International Journal of Innovative studies in Sciences and Engineering Technology (IJISSET) ISSN 2455-4863 (Online) Volume: 2 Issue:2 Feb 2016 p.6-7*

- [9] Sao, G.S. and Sharma, Aradhana: Weak contraction in fuzzy b-metric Space, International Journal of Innovative studies in Sciences and Engineering Technology (IJISSET) ISSN 2455-4863 (Online) Volume: 2 Issue:8 August 2016 p 19-20
- [10] Sao, G.S. and Shrivastava ,U.K .: Common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible mappings,Antactica Jour.math ISSN No.0972-8643, 8(2) 2011, p.109-113.
- [11] Sharma, Aradhana and Sao, G.S.: fixed point theorem in fuzzy 2-metric space,IJACSM ISSN 2249-3042.Vol.5 , No.2,Nov.2015,p.145-148.
- [12] Zadeh L A, Fuzzy sets, Inform. and Control., (1965) 89: 338.