Common Fixed Point Theorem by Weakly Compatible Mappings

Gauri Shanker Sao

Dept. Of Maths, Govt. ERR PG Sc. College Bilaspur(C.G.)

Aradhana Sharma

Dept. Of Maths, Govt. Bilasa Girls PG College Bilaspur

Abstract: In this paper we prove common fixed point theorem by weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy b-metric spac.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fixed point theory has got wide applications in different branches of mathematics. In 1922, the work of S. Banach known as the Banach Contraction Principle, laid the foundation for studies of fixed point theory. Since then, many mathematicians have extended and generalised the work of Banach in different directions. In [8], Bakhtin introduced the concept of a b-metric space as a generalisation of a metric space and proved the contraction mapping theorem in a b-metric space. Since . Huang and Zang [3], generalising the notion of a metric space by replacing the set of real numbers by ordered normed spaces, In [7], Hussein and Shah introduced cone b-metric spaces as a generalisation of b-metric spaces and cone metric spaces, established some topological properties in such spaces and improved . Cone b-metric spaces play a useful role in fixed point theory.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let E be a real Banach space and P a subset of E. Then P is called a cone if

(i) P is closed, non-empty and satisfies $P \neq \{0\}$,

(ii) ax + by \in P for all x; y \in P and non-negative real numbers a; b,

(iii) $x \in P$ and $-x \in P \implies x = 0$, i.e. $P \cap (-P) = 0$.

Definition 2.1 ([3]). Let X be a non empty set. Suppose that the mapping $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$ satisfies:

(d1) $\theta \leq d(x;y)$ for all $x;y \in X$ and $d(x;y) = \theta$ if and only if x = y,

(d2) d(x; y) = d(y; x) for all $x; y \in X$,

 $(d3)d(x;y) \leq d(x;z) + d(z;y)$ for all $x; y; z \in X$.

Then d is called a cone metric on X and (X,d) is called a cone metric space.

Definition 2.2 ([3]). Let X be a non empty set and $s \ge 1$ be a given real number. Suppose that the mapping $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$ satisfies:

(d1) $\theta \leq d(x;y)$ for all $x;y \in X$ and $d(x; y) = \theta$ if and only if x = y,

(d2) d(x; y) = d(y; x) for all $x; y \in X$,

(d3) $d(x; y) \leq s[d(x; z) + d(z; y)]$ for all $x; y; z \in X$.

Then, d is called a cone b-metric on X and (X; d) is called a cone b-metric space.

Definition 2.3 ([3]). Let (X, d) be a cone b-metric space. The sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be:

(a) a convergent sequence if for every $c \in E$ with 0 << c, there is $n_0 \in N$ such that for all $n \ge n_0, d(x_n; x) << c$ for some $x \in X$. We denote this by $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$,

(b) a Cauchy sequence if for all $c \in E$ with 0<< c, there is $n_0 \in N$ such that $d(x_m; x_n) << c$,

for all m; $n \ge n_0$,

(c) a cone b-metric space (X; d) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent in X.

Definition 2.4 ([4]). Let (X; d) be a metric space, k a positive integer, $T : X^k \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings.

(a) An element $x \in X$ is said to be a coincidence point of f and T if and only if f(x) = T(x, x, ..., x). If x = f(x) = T(x, x, ..., x), then we say that x is a common fixed point of f and T. If w = f(x) = T(x, x, ..., x), then w is called a point of coincidence of f and T.

(b) Mappings f and T are said to be commuting if and only if f(T(x, x,x)) = T(fx, fx, fx) for all $x \in X$.

(c) Mappings f and T are said to be weakly compatible if and only if they commute at their coincidence points.

International Journal of Innovative Studies in Sciences and Engineering Technology

(IJISSET)

ISSN 2455-4863 (Online)	<u>www.ijisset.org</u>	Volume: 2 Issue: 7 July 2016	
Definition 2.5 A fuzzy metric space (X,M said to be weak contraction fuzzy b- m	M,*)is M(gu,ggu,t)=M(A(u aetric t) So gu=ggu	ı,u,u),B(gu,gu,,gu),t)< <m(gu,ggu,< td=""></m(gu,ggu,<>	
space if there exit $s \in (0,1)$ and $G \ge 0$	i.e. gu=v is a comr	i.e. gu=v is a common fixed point of g,A and B.If (3.6 hold ;by (3.2) for any k we have	
$M(Ax,Ay,t) \ge sM(x,y,t)*GM(y,Ax,t)$	hold ;by (3.2) for a		
OR $M(Ax,Ay,t) \ge sM(x,y,t)^*GM(x,Ay,t)$	M(ggu,gu,t)=M(gB((u,u,u),B(u,u,u),t)=M(B(gu,gu,	
for all $x, y \in X$ and $A: X \rightarrow X$.	gu),B(u,u,u), sM(B(gu,gu,gu),	t) <u>≺</u> A(gu,gu,gu),t)	
3. MATERIAL AND METHODS	+s ² M(A(gu,gu,gu	$+s^2M(A(gu,gu,gu),B(gu,gu,gu),t) +$	
Theorem 3.1 Let (X,M,*) be a complete fuzzy b-space, for any positive integer k let A,B: $X^k \rightarrow X$ a	metric +s ^{k+1} M(A(gu,gu,g motric $≺$ sμΨ{M(ggu,ggu	u),B(gu,gu,gu),t) ,t),M(ggu,ggu,t),	

 \rightarrow X be mappings satisfying

 $A(X^k) \cup B(X^k) \subset g(X)$ (3.1)

max {M($\alpha(x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_k), \beta(x_2, x_3, ..., x_{k+1}), t$) $\alpha = A v B$ $\beta = A v B$

 $\prec \mu \Psi(M(gx_1, gx_2, t), M(gx_2, gx_3, t) \dots M(gx_k, gx_{k+1}, t))$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1} \in X, s^k \mu \in (0,1)$ (3.2)

If g(X) is complete then there exit elements $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{k+1}$ in X s.t. $g(x_{k+1}) = B(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_k)$ (3.3)

If R is the upper bound of the set {M($\frac{gx_1, gx_2, t}{\theta}$),

$$\mathsf{M}(\frac{gx_2, gx_3, t}{\theta^2}) \dots \mathsf{M}(\frac{gx_k, gx_{k+1}, t}{\theta^k})$$

Where $\theta = \mu^{\frac{1}{k}}$

If the pairs (g,A) and (g,B) are weakly compatible pairs, then g, A and B have a unique common fixed point provided any one of the following hold

(3.4)

M(A(u,u,...,u),B(v,v,...,v),t) << M(gu,gv,t)(3.5) $0 < \mu < \frac{s-1}{s^k - 1}$ (3.6)

4. RESULT AND DISCUSION

theorem : As $v, u \in \chi$ of lim s.t.

 $y_n=v=g(u)=A(u,u,...,u)=B(u,u,...,u)$. Since the pairs (g,A) and (g,B) are weakly compatible pairs, we have

ggu=g(A(u,u,...,u)=A(gu,gu,...,gu))

and ggu=g(A(u,u,...,u)=A(gu,gu,...,gu))

suppose (3.5) hold then we have

 $M(ggu,ggu,t) + s^2 \mu \Psi M(M(ggu,ggu,t),...$

M(ggu.gu.t), M(gu,gu,t)+..... S^{k-} $^{1}\mu\Psi(M(ggu,gu,t),M(gu,gu,t),...M(gu,gu,t) \prec$

 $(s+s^2+....+s^{k-1}) \mu M(ggu,gu,t)$

 \prec (1+s+s²+....+s^{k-1}) μ M(ggu,gu,t)

$$\underline{\prec} \frac{s^k - 1}{s - 1} \mu \mathsf{M}(\mathsf{ggu},\mathsf{gu},\mathsf{t})$$

5. CONCLUSION

Hence M(ggu, gu, t)=1

So ggu=gu and gv=v . Therefore g,A and B have common fixed point p.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to the learned referee for the valuable comments and suggestions which helped them in bringing this paper in its present form.

REFERENCES

- [1] S.B. Presic, Sur la convergence des suites, Comptes. Rendus. de l'Acad. des Sci. de Paris, 260 (1965), 3828-3830.
- [2] Lj.B Ciric, S.B. Presic, On Presic type generalisation of Banach contraction principle, Acta. Math. Univ. Com. LXXVI(2) (2007), 143-147.
- [3] L.G. Huang, X. Zhang, Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 332(2) (2007), 1468–1476.
- [4] Reny George and M.S. Khan, On Presic type extension of Banach contraction principle,Int. J. Math. Anal. (21) (2011), 1019-1024.
- [5] K.P.R. Rao, Md. Mushtaq Ali and B. Fisher, Some Presic type generalisation of Banach contraction principle, Math. Moravic. 15(1) (2011), 41-47.

Proof

International Journal of Innovative Studies in Sciences and Engineering Technology (IJISSET)

ISSN 2455-4863 (Online)	www.ijisset.org	Volume: 2 Issue: 7 July 2016
[6] H. Huang and S. Xu, Fixed point theorems of contractive mappings in cone b-metric spaces and applications, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012, Article ID 2012:220.	ms of [7] N. Hussain, M.H. Sh es and metric spaces, Con Article (2011), 1677-1684.	ah, KKM mappings in cone b- nputers and Math. Appl. 62
	[8] I.A. Bakhtin, Contr almost metric space Unianowsk, 30 26-3	raction mapping principle in es, Funct. Anals.,Gos. Ped. Inst. 7, (1989).