

Common Fixed Point and Compability Mapping in Fuzzy 2-Metric Space

SAO, GAURI SHANKER.; SAO, AWANISH KUMAR., SINHA, SEEMA

Department of Mathematics, Govt. ERR Pg Science College Bilaspur (C.G.)

Abstract: The aim of present paper is to obtain a fixed point by contraction and compability in fuzzy 2-metric space of Kannan type .Our purpose is to generalize the several known results.

Keywords and Phrases: fuzzy 2-metric spaces, contraction mapping, compability, fixed point.

AMS Subject Classification (2000): 54H25, 47H10.

1. INTRODUCTION

The fundamental work for the fuzzy theory was first given by Zadeh [14] in 1965, who introduced the concept of fuzzy set. George and Veeramani [3] modified the notion of fuzzy metric spaces by introducing the concept of continuous t - norm. The fuzzy metric space was introduced by Kramosil and Michalek [5], Khan M.S, Pathak H.K. & George R.[6] compatible mappings of Type (A-1) and Type A-2 in fuzzy metric spaces, Sao,G.S. and Shrivastava,U.K. [11] introduce the notion of compability of type(D).

2. PRELIMINARIES

The following definitions and results will be needed in the sequel.

DEFINITION 2.1([13]) Let X be any set. A fuzzy set A in X is a function with domain X and values in $[0, 1]$.

Definition(2.2)[3]:A binary operation $*$: $[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is a continuous t -norm if $\{[0,1],*\}$ is an abelian topological monoid with unit 1 such that $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$, $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$

Definition(2.3)[3]:A **fuzzy 2-metric space** is a space X in which for each triplet of points x, y, z there exists a real function $M(x, y, z, t)$ such that :

- (i) to each pair of distinct points x, y, z in X there exists a point t in $[0,1]$ s.t. $M(x, y, z, t) \neq 0$;
- (ii) $M(x, y, z, t) = 1$, when x, y, z are equal;

$$(iii) M(x, y, z, t) = M(y, x, z, t) ;$$

$$(iv) M(x, y, z, t_1 + t_2 + t_3)$$

$$\geq M(x, y, z, t_1) * M(x, y, z, t_2) * M(x, y, z, t_3),$$

for all x, y, z in X and t_1, t_2, t_3 in $[0,1]$. It is easily seen that M is non -negative.

Definition(2.4)[3]:A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a fuzzy 2-metric space $(X, M, *)$ is said to be **convergent** with $\lim x$ in X if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, z, t) = 1$$

for all x, y, z in X and $t > 0$.

Definition(2.5)[3]:A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a fuzzy 2-metric space $(X, M, *)$ is said to be a **Cauchy sequence** if

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_m, x_n, z, t) = 1$$

for all x, y, z in X and $t > 0$.

Definition(2.5)[3]:A fuzzy 2-metric space $(X, M, *)$ is said to be **complete fuzzy 2-metric space** if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

DEFINITION 2.6([1]) Two self-mappings A and S of a fuzzy 2-metric space $(X, M, *)$ are said to be compatible if,

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ASx_n, SAx_n, z, t) = 1$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = p, \text{ for some } p \text{ in } X.$$

DEFINITION 2.7([4]) Two self-mappings A and S of a fuzzy 2-metric space $(X, M, *)$ are said to be weakly compatible if, they commute at coincidence points. That is, $Ax =$

Sx implies that $ASx = SAx$ for all x in X .

DEFINITION 2.8([2]) Two self-mappings A and S of a fuzzy 2-metric space $(X, M, *)$ are said to be

subcompatible if, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ASx_n, SAx_n, z, t) = 1$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = p$, for some p in X .

DEFINITION 2.9([7]) Two self-mappings A and S of a fuzzy 2-metric space $(X, M, *)$ are said to be reciprocally continuous if,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ASx_n, Ax, z, t) = 1$$

$$\text{and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(SAx_n, Sx, z, t) = 1$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = p$, for some p in X .

It is noted that if A and S are both continuous, they are obviously reciprocally continuous but the converse need not be true. For this, we have the following example:

EXAMPLE 1.1([7]) Consider two mappings A and S defined on $X = [2, 20]$ with the usual Euclidean metric d , defined by the rule $A_2 = 2, Ax = 3$ if $x > 2$ and $S_2 = 2, Sx = 6$ if $x > 2$. Then, A and S are reciprocally continuous but are not continuous.

DEFINITION 2.10([6]) Two self-mappings A and S of a fuzzy 2-metric space $(X, M, *)$ are said to be compability of type(A) if,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ASx_n, SSx_n, z, t) = 1$$

$$\text{and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(SAx_n, AAx_n, z, t) = 1$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = p$, for some p in X .

3. MATERIAL AND METHOD

Theorem(3.1): Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy 2-metric space and let $T, S: X \rightarrow X$ are continuous mappings of X , then $\{Tx_n\}$ and $\{Sx_n\}$ converges to p . If T and S satisfying the following condition:

$$M(Tx, Sy, z, t) \leq aM(x, Tx, z, t) + bM(Sy, Tx, z, t) + cM(x, Sy, z, t) + dM(x, y, z, t)$$

When x, y, z in X and $t \in [0, 1]$ s.t. $a+b+2c+d < 1$, then T and S has a common fixed point p .

4. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Proof of theorem: Consider

$Q_{n-1} = M(x_n, x_{n+1}, z, t)$ if $x = x_n, y = x_{n+1}$ then we have

$$Q_{n+1} \leq aQ_n + bQ_{n+1} + c(Q_n + Q_{n+1}) + dQ_n \Rightarrow (1-b-c)Q_{n+1} \leq (a+c+d)Q_n$$

$$\Rightarrow Q_{n+1} \leq \frac{a+c+d}{1-b-c} Q_n$$

$$\Rightarrow Q_{n+1} \leq k Q_n \text{ when } k = \frac{a+c+d}{1-b-c} < 1,$$

Hence $a+b+2c+d < 1$

$$\Rightarrow Q_n \leq k Q_{n-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow Q_{n+1} \leq k^2 Q_{n-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow Q_n \leq k^n Q_0$$

But $Q_0 = M(x_0, x_1, z, t)$ is a Cauchy fuzzy 2-metric space. So Q_n be Cauchy fuzzy metric space. Also we can easily prove that it is convergent.

$$M(Tx_n, p, z, t) \leq M(Tx_n, p, z, t/2) * M(Tx_n, p, z, t/4) * M(Tx_n, p, z, t/8) * \dots$$

$$M(Tx_n, p, z, t/2^n)$$

$$\Rightarrow M(Tx_n, p, z, t) \rightarrow 1$$

Therefore it converge at the point p .

Also we can easily show that point p is unique.

Also we can show that this is a **type(A)**, Since

$$M(TSx, SSx, z, t) \leq aM(Sx, TSx, z, t) + bM(SSx, TSx, z, t) + cM(Sx, SSx, z, t) + dM(Tx, TSx, z, t)$$

$$\text{s.t. } x, y, z \text{ in } X \text{ and } t \in [0, 1] \text{ with } a+b+2c+d < 1$$

Consider $Q_{n+1} = M(x_{n+4}, x_{n+3}, z, t)$ if $x = x_n, Sx_n = x_{n+2}$ and $Tx_n = x_{n+1}$ then we have

$$M(TSx_n, SSx_n, z, t) \leq aM(Sx_n, TSx_n, z, t) + bM(SSx_n, TSx_n, z, t) + cM(Sx_n, SSx_n, z, t) + dM(Tx_n, TSx_n, z, t)$$

$$\text{s.t. } x, y, z \text{ in } X \text{ and } t \in [0, 1]$$

Hence after substituting values, we have

$$(1-b-c)Q_{n+1}=(a+c+d)Q_n$$

$$\Rightarrow Q_{n+1} \leq \frac{a+c+d}{1-b-c} Q_n$$

$$\Rightarrow Q_{n+1} \leq k Q_n \text{ when } k = \frac{a+c+d}{1-b-c} < 1,$$

s.t. x, y, z in X and $t \in [0, 1]$ with $a+b+2c+d < 1$

As $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = p$, for some p in X .

So we have prove this is a compability of type(A).

5. CONCLUSION

As Q_n or $\{Tx_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{Sx_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are Cauchy sequence ,then $Tx_n=p=Sx_n$

So $M(Tx_n, p, z, t) \rightarrow 1$

$$\Rightarrow M(TSx_n, SSx_n, z, t) \rightarrow 1$$

Therefore T and S have a common fixed point p as well as T and S are compability of type(A)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to the reviewers for their valuable suggestions to enhance the quality of our article and Journal .They also acknowledges the Dr.U.K.Shrivastava Head of department of Maths for encouragement of work and Prof. Mahfooz Arif head of department of Geology for his moral support

REFERENCES

- [1] Balasubramaniam P, Muralishankar S & Pant R P, Common fixed points of four mappings in a fuzzy metric space, *J. Fuzzy Math.*, (2002) 10(2): 379.
- [2] Bouhedjera, H. and C. Godet-Thobie, Common fixed point theorems for pairs of subcompatible maps, *Arxiv: 0906.3159v2 [math.FA]*, (2011) 1.
- [3] George A & Veeramani P, On some results in fuzzy metric space, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, (1994) 64: 395.
- [4] Jungck G & Rhoades B E, Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, (1998) 29(3): 227.
- [5] Kramosil O & Michalek J, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, *Kybernetika*, (1975) 11: 326.
- [6] Khan M S, Pathak H K & George R, Compatible compatible mappings of Type (A-1) and Type A-2 and common fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, *Int. Math. Forum*, (2007) 2: 515.
- [7] Pant R P, Common fixed points of four mappings, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*,(1998)90: 281.
- [8] Sao,G.S.: Contraction Theorem In Fuzzy 2-Metric Space, International Journal of Innovative studies in Sciences and Engineering Technology (IJISSET) ISSN 2455-4863 (Online) Volume: 2 Issue:5 May 2016p.1-2
- [9] Sao, G.S.: Fixed Point Theorem of Type (P) in Fuzzy 2-Metric Space, International Journal of Innovative studies in Sciences and Engineering Technology (IJISSET) ISSN 2455-4863 (Online) Volume: 2 Issue:2 Feb 2016 p.6-7
- [10] Sao, G.S.and Sharma,Aradhana: Weak contraction in fuzzy b-metric Space, International Journal of Innovative studies in Sciences and Engineering Technology (IJISSET) ISSN 2455-4863 (Online) Volume: 2 Issue:8 August 2016 p 19-20
- [11]Sao,G.S. and Shrivastava, U.K.: Common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible mappings, *Antactica Jour. math* ISSN No.0972-8643, 8(2) 2011, p.109-113.
- [12]Sao,G.S.Sao,A.K.and Sinha,Seema: Kannan type contraction mapping in fuzzy 2-metric space, IJISSET ISSN 2455-4863(Online) Vol. 2 Issue:9 Sept. 2016 p.13-15.
- [13]Sharma, Aradhana and Sao, G.S.: fixed point theorem in fuzzy 2-metric space, IJACSM ISSN 2249-3042.Vol.5, No.2, Nov. 2015, p.145-148.
- [14] Zadeh L.A: Fuzzy sets, *Inform. and Control.*, (1965) 89: 338.