

Common Fixed Point and Compability in Fuzzy 2-Metric Space

G.S.Sao, A.K.Sao, Seema Sinha, U.K.Shrivastava

Dept. of Mathematics, Govt.ERR PG Science College Bilaspur

Abstract: Fuzzy metric space is first defined by Kramosil and Michalek in 1975. Many authors modified Fuzzy metric space and proved fixed point results in Fuzzy metric space. Singh B. and Chauhan were first introduced the concept of compatible mappings of Fuzzy metric space and proved the common fixed point theorem in 2000. Cho et were introduced the concept of compatible mapping of type (P). In this paper, we obtain a fixed point by compability in fuzzy 2-metric space. Our purpose is generalize the several known results.

Keywords: Common fixed points, fuzzy metric space, compatible maps,

2000 AMS Subject Classification: Primary 47H10, Secondary 54H25

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of Fuzzy sets was initially investigated by Zadeh [17] as a new way to represent vagueness in everyday life. Subsequently, it was developed by many authors and used in various fields. To use this concept in Topology and Analysis, several researchers have defined Fuzzy metric space in various ways. In this paper we deal with the Fuzzy metric space defined by Kramosil and Michalek [8] and modified by George and Veeramani [3]. Recently, Grabiec [4] has proved fixed point results for Fuzzy metric space. In the sequel, Singh and Chauhan [15] introduced the concept of compatible mappings of Fuzzy metric space and proved the common fixed point theorem. Pathak, Chang and Cho [9] introduced the concept of compatible mapping of type (P), Pathak and Khan [11] introduced the concept of compatible mapping of type (B), Pathak, Cho, Kang and Madharia [12] introduced the concept of compatible mapping of type (C), Sao and Srivastava [16] introduced the concept of compatible mapping of type (D). For the sake of completeness, we recall some definitions and known results in Fuzzy metric space.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition(2.1): Abinary operation \ast : $[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is a continuous t-norm if

$\{[0,1], \ast\}$ is an abelian topological monoid with unit 1 such that $a \ast b \leq c \ast d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$, $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$

Definition (2.2): A **fuzzy 2-metric space** is a space X in which for each triplet of points x, y, z there exists a real function $M(x, y, z, t)$ such that :

(i) to each pair of distinct points x, y, z in X there exists a point t in $[0,1]$ then $M(x, y, z, t) \neq 0$;

(ii) $M(x, y, z, t) = 1$, when x, y, z are equal;

(iii) $M(x, y, z, t) = M(y, x, z, t)$;

(iv) $M(x, y, z, t_1 + t_2 + t_3) \geq M(x, y, z, t_1) \ast M(x, y, z, t_2) \ast M(x, y, z, t_3)$,

for all x, y, z in X and t_1, t_2, t_3 in $[0,1]$. It is easily seen that M is non-negative.

Definition(2.3): A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a fuzzy 2-metric space (X, M, \ast) is said to be **convergent** with $\lim x$ in X if

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, z, t) = 1$, for all x, y, z in X and $t > 0$.

Definition(2.4): A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a fuzzy 2-metric space (X, M, \ast) is said to be a **Cauchy sequence** if

$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_m, x_n, z, t) = 1$, for all x, y, z in X and $t > 0$.

Definition(2.5): A fuzzy 2-metric space (X, M, \ast) is said to be **complete fuzzy 2-metric space** if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

DEFINITION (2.6): Two self-mappings A and S of a fuzzy 2-metric space (X, M, \ast) are said to be compatible if,

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ASx_n, SAx_n, z, t) = 1$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that,

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = p$, for some p in X .

DEFINITION (2.7): Two self-mappings A and S of a fuzzy 2-metric space $(X, M, *)$ are said to be weakly compatible if, they commute at coincidence points. That is, $Ax = Sx$ implies that $ASx = SAx$ for all x in X .

DEFINITION (2.8): Two self-mappings A and S of a fuzzy 2-metric space $(X, M, *)$ are said to be subcompatible if,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ASx_n, SAx_n, z, t) = 1$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = p$, for some p in X .

DEFINITION (2.9): Two self-mappings A and S of a fuzzy 2-metric space $(X, M, *)$ are said to be reciprocally continuous if,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ASx_n, Ax_n, z, t) = 1$$

$$\text{and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(SAx_n, Sx_n, z, t) = 1$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = p$, for some p in X .

$Sx_n = p$, for some p in X .

It is noted that if A and S are both continuous, they are obviously reciprocally continuous but the converse need not be true.

DEFINITION (2.10): Two self-mappings A and S of a fuzzy 2-metric space $(X, M, *)$ are said to be compability of type(A) if,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ASx_n, SSx_n, z, t) = 1$$

$$\text{and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(SAx_n, AAx_n, z, t) = 1$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = p$, for some p in X .

$Sx_n = p$, for some p in X .

DEFINITION (2.11): Two self-mappings A and S of a fuzzy 2-metric space $(X, M, *)$ are said to be compability of type(B) if,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ASx_n, SSx_n, z, t) = \frac{1}{2} [M(ASx_n, Ap, z, t) + M(Ap, AAx_n, z, t)]$$

$$\text{and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(SAx_n, AAx_n, z, t)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} [M(SAx_n, Sp, z, t) + M(Sp, SSx_n, z, t)]$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = p$, for some p in X .

$Sx_n = p$, for some p in X .

DEFINITION (2.12): Two self-mappings A and S of a fuzzy 2-metric space $(X, M, *)$ are said to be compability of type(C) if,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ASx_n, SSx_n, z, t) = \frac{1}{3} [M(ASx_n, Ap, z, t) + M(Ap, SSx_n, z, t) + M(Ap, AAx_n, z, t)]$$

$$\text{and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(SAx_n, AAx_n, z, t)$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} [M(SAx_n, Sp, z, t) + M(Sp, AAx_n, z, t)$$

$$+ M(Sp, SSx_n, z, t)]$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = p$, for some p in X .

$Sx_n = p$, for some p in X .

DEFINITION (2.13): Two self-mappings A and S of a fuzzy 2-metric space $(X, M, *)$ are said to be compability of type(D) if,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ASx_n, SSx_n, z, t) = \frac{1}{4} [M(ASx_n, Ap, z, t)$$

$$+ M(Ap, AAx_n, z, t) + M(Ap, SSx_n, z, t)$$

$$+ M(SSx_n, AAx_n, z, t)]$$

$$\text{and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(SAx_n, AAx_n, z, t)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} [M(SAx_n, Sp, z, t) + M(Sp, SSx_n, z, t) + M(Sp, AAx_n, z, t) +$$

$$M(AAx_n, SSx_n, z, t)]$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = p$, for some p in X .

$Sx_n = p$, for some p in X .

3. MATERIAL AND METHOD

Theorem(3.1): Let $(X, M, *)$ a fuzzy 2-metric space and let T, A, B be continuous self mappings of X , then $\{Tx_n\}, \{Ax_n\}$ and $\{Bx_n\}$ converges to p . If T, A and B satisfying the following condition

$$M(ATx, BTy, z, t) \leq aM(x, y, z, t)$$

$$+ b[M(x, ATx, z, t) + M(y, BTy, z, t)]$$

$$+ c[M(x, BTy, z, t) + M(y, ATx, z, t)]$$

s.t. x, y, z in X and $t \in [0, 1]$ when $a+2b+2c < 1$, then T, A and B has a common fixed point p .

4. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Proof : Consider $x = x_n$ and $y = x_{n+1}$

Also $ATx_n = Tx_{n+1} = x_{n+1}$

and $BTx_{n+1} = Tx_{n+2} = x_{n+2}$

Then above equation reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, z, t) &\leq aM(x_n, x_{n+1}, z, t) \\ &+ b[M(x_n, x_{n+1}, z, t) + M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, z, t)] \\ &+ c[M(x_n, x_{n+2}, z, t) + M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, z, t)] \\ \Rightarrow M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, z, t) &\leq aM(x_n, x_{n+1}, z, t) \\ &+ b[M(x_n, x_{n+1}, z, t) + M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, z, t)] \\ &+ c[M(x_n, x_{n+1}, z, t) + M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, z, t) \\ &+ M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, z, t)] \\ M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, z, t) & \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{a+b+c}{1-b-c} M(x_n, x_{n+1}, z, t)$$

$$\Rightarrow M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, z, t) \leq k M(x_n, x_{n+1}, z, t)$$

$$\text{if } k = \frac{a+b+c}{1-b-c}$$

Then

$$M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, z, t) \leq k^n M(x_0, x_1, z, t) \rightarrow 1$$

as $a+2b+2c < 1$.

5. CONCLUSION

It is easily prove that

$\{Tx_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \{Ax_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \{Bx_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ were a Cauchy sequence, since

$$\begin{aligned} M(Tx, Ty, z, t) &\leq aM(x, y, z, t) \\ &+ b[M(x, Tx, z, t) + M(y, Ty, z, t)] \\ &+ c[M(x, Ty, z, t) + M(y, Tx, z, t)] \end{aligned}$$

$Tx_n = p, x = x_n, y = x_{n+1}$ and $a+2b+2c < 1$.

Then p be fixed point of T . Similarly p be fixed point of A and B , So p is common fixed point of T, A and B . Also we can prove that above equation satisfy the condition of type(A), type(B), type(C), type(P) and type(D) by some rearrangement.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to the reviewers for their valuable suggestions to enhance the quality of our article and Journal also.

REFERENCES

- [1] Balasubramaniam P, Muralishankar S & Pant R P, Common fixed points of four mappings in a fuzzy metric space, *J. Fuzzy Math.*, (2002) 10(2): 379.
- [2] Bouhedjera, H. and C. Godet-Thobie, Common fixed point theorems for pairs of sub compatible maps, *Arxiv: 0906.3159v2 [math.FA]*, (2011) 1.
- [3] George, A. and Veeramani, P., On some results in Fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 64 (1994), 395-399.
- [4] Grabiec, M., Fixed points in Fuzzy metric space, *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 27(1998), 385-389.
- [5] Jungck, G., Murthy, P.P. and Cho, Y.J., Compatible mappings of type (A) and common fixed points, *Math. Japonica*, 38 (1993), 381-390.
- [6] Jungck G & Rhoades B E, Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity, *Indian J.Pure Appl.Math.*, (1998) 29(3): 227.
- [7] Khan M S, Pathak H K & George R: Compatible mappings of Type (A-1) and Type A-2 and common fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, *Int. Math. Forum*, (2007) 2: 515.
- [8] Kramosil, I. and Michalek, J., Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, *Kybernetika* 11 (1975), 336-344.
- [9] Pathak, Chang, Cho, Fixed point theorems for compatible map of type (P), *Indian Journal of Math.*, 36(2), (1994), 151-166.
- [10] Pant R P, Common fixed points of four mappings, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, (1998) 90: 281.
- [11] Pathak H.K. and Khan, M.S.: Compatible mapping of type(B) and common fixed point theorems of Gregus type *Czech math j.* 45(120)(1995)685-698.
- [12] Pathak, H.K., Cho, Y.J., Kang, S.M. and Madharia, B.: Compatible map of type (C) and common fixed point theorems of Gregus type, *Demonstratio mathematica* XXXi(3) (1998) 499-517
- [13] Sao, G.S.: Fixed Point Theorem of Type (P) in Fuzzy 2-Metric Space, *International Journal of Innovative studies in Sciences and Engineering*

- Technology (IJISSET) ISSN 2455-4863 (Online)
Volume: 2 Issue:2 Feb 2016 p.6-7
- [14] Sao, G.S.and Sharma, Aradhana: Weak contraction in fuzzy b-metric Space, International Journal of Innovative studies in Sciences and Engineering Technology (IJISSET) ISSN 2455-4863 (Online) Volume: 2 Issue:8 August 2016 p 19-20
- [15] Singh, B. and Chouhan, M.S., Common fixed points of compatible maps in Fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy sets and systems, 115 (2000), 471-475.
- [16] Sao, G.S. and Shrivastava, U.K.: Common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible mappings, Antarctica Journal Mathematics 8(2)(2011)109-113.
- [17] Zadeh, L. A., Fuzzy sets, Inform and control 8 (1965), 338-353.